

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/021,012	12/19/2001	Masahiro Yasukawa	040076.01	040076.01 9786	
25944	7590 06/02/2003				
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDR	928 .IA, VA 22320		QI, ZHI QIANG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAIL ED. 06/02/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.11			1					
	Application	N .	Applicant(s)	De				
9	10/021,012		YASUKAWA, MASAHIRO					
Offic Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit					
	Mike Qi		2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shoet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u>	ebruary 2003	<u> </u>						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is no	n-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,12,13 and 15</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-11 and 14</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	nriority unde	ar 35 II S C & 110/a	)_(d) or (f)					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
a)⊠ All b)∟ Some c)∟ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/955,461</u> .								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,767,827 (Kobayashi et al) in view of US 5,805,252 (Shimada et al).

Claims 1 and 14, Kobayashi discloses (col.4, lines 18–64; Fig.1) that a liquid crystal panel comprising:

- reflecting electrodes (9) formed on a substrate (1);
- a switching element (2,3,4) formed corresponding to each of the reflecting electrode (9);
- a passivation film (11) formed on the reflecting electrodes (9) is a silicon oxide film as a protective film for the pixel transistor on the pixel electrodes;
- insulating films (7a and 7b) (as an insulating interlayer) formed between the reflecting electrode (9) and a capacitance electrode (20) (the capacitance electrode must be made of a metal, also the data line '8' and the drain electrode '23' must made of metal, and functions as a shielding layer) above the switching element (2,3,4), therefore, the insulating films (7a and 7b) as an insulating interlayer also formed between the reflecting

Art Unit: 2871

electrode (9) and the switching element (2,3,4) so as to cover the switching elements (2,3,4) except for a connection portion between the reflecting electrode (9) and the switching element (2,3,4).

Although Kobayashi does not expressly disclose the insulating film is silicon nitride film, but a dielectric film as an insulating film made of silicon nitride or silicon oxide was common and known in the art, such as Shimada disclosed (col.1, line 67-col.2, line 1) that an insulating film is made of silicon nitride or silicon oxide.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange a silicon nitride film formed as an insulating interlayer as claimed in claims 1 and 14 for achieving the insulation and the insulating effect having moisture resistance.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi and Shimada as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of US 5,056,895 (Kahn).

Claim 2, Kahn discloses (col.4, line 51 – col.6, line 66; Fig.1) that a liquid crystal panel substrate comprising a semiconductor substrate (40) and is then covered with a silicon dioxide dielectric insulating layer (50), and an additional oxide layer (53) covers the first oxide layer, and both of them are between the electrode (70) (composed of Au 'gold', so that must be reflective electrode) and the drain electrode (44) (the drain electrode must be a conductive metal material). Therefore, the insulating interlayer (50,53) has a laminate structure. Kahn also indicates (col.5, lines 40-42) that the composition of oxide layer 50,53,64,68, is well known in the art, and is preferably either

Art Unit: 2871

SiO<sub>2</sub>, or Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. Therefore, the insulating layer (53) using silicon nitride film formed on the insulating layer (50) using silicon oxide film would have been obvious, and using dielectric films having different optical density would have dielectric mirror effect to increase the reflectance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use laminated insulating films as claimed in claim 2 for increasing the reflectance.

4. Claims 3, 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Us 5,510,918 (Matsunaga et al) in view of US 5,056,895 (Kahn).

Claim 3, Matsunaga discloses (col.4, line 12 – col.5, line 32; Figs.1,8) that a liquid crystal display comprising a periphery region of the pixel region on the substrate (SUB1) having gate terminal (GTM) and drain terminal (DTM) (the terminal must be made of metal) and insulating layer (GI), passivation film (PSV1), such that the periphery region having at least insulation interlayers. Matsunage also discloses (col.7, line 62 – col.8, line 20; Fig.8) that a liquid crystal display comprising a passivation film (PSV1) made from silicon nitride film formed at the periphery region, i.e., a passivation film formed on a side of edge sections (any side edge can be a side edge) of the at least insulating interlayers, and the passivation film having a high passivation effect in the peripheral portion against the humidity or the like, such as moisture resistance.

Matsunaga does not expressly disclose a pixel region having a matrix of reflecting electrodes, and a passivation film having a laminate structure.

Art Unit: 2871

However, a reflection type liquid crystal display having reflecting electrode was common and known in the art as using ambient light and reducing power consumption.

Kahn discloses (col.4, line 51 – col.6, line 66; Fig.1) that a liquid crystal panel substrate comprising a semiconductor substrate (40) and is then covered with a silicon dioxide dielectric insulating layer (50), and an additional oxide layer (53) covers the first oxide layer, and both of them are between the electrode (70) (composed of Au 'gold', so that must be reflective electrode) and the drain electrode (44) (the drain elect rode must be a conductive metal material). Therefore, the insulating interlayer (50,53) has a laminate structure. Kahn also indicates (col.5, lines 40-42) that the composition of oxide layer 50,53,64,68, is well known in the art, and is preferably either SiO<sub>2</sub>, or Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. Therefore, the insulating layer (53) using silicon nitride film formed on the insulating layer (50) using silicon oxide film would have been obvious, and using dielectric films having different optical density would have dielectric mirror effect to increase the reflectance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use reflecting electrodes and the passivation film (also is an insulating film) as claimed in claim 3 for reducing power consumption and increasing the reflectance.

Claim 8, Matsunaga discloses (Fig.8) that a seal material (SL) formed on the passivation film (PSV1) (silicon nitride film) for sealing with a counter substrate (SUB2).

Art Unit: 2871

Claim 9, inherently, the edge section is a scribed region of the substrate to form the periphery region, and that was a common and known in the art for more precisely forming a periphery region making a scribed region on the substrate.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5,767,827 (Kobayashi et al) in view of US 5,510,918 (Matsunaga et al).

Claim 4, Kobayashi discloses (col.4, lines 18–64; Fig.1) that a liquid crystal panel comprising:

- reflecting electrodes (9) formed on a substrate (1) and a transistor formed corresponding the each of the reflecting electrodes;
- a drive circuit for scanning the signals formed around the display pixel area (a peripheral circuit arranged in a periphery region of the pixel region on the substrate for supplying signal to the transistors in the pixel region);
- a <u>pssivation film comprising a silicon oxide film (11)</u> formed on the reflecting electrodes (9) in the pixel region.

Kobayashi does not expressly disclose that a second passivation film comprising a silicon nitride film formed on the periphery region.

However, Matsunaga discloses (Figs. 7,8) that a <u>passivation film (PSV1)</u> (silicon nitride film) formed on the periphery region (also is formed on a side of edge sections of the periphery region, and any side edge can be a side edge), and the passivation film (PSV1) having a high passivation effect for protecting the transistor against humidity.

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange a passivation film on the periphery region as claimed in claim 4 for achieving humidity resistant.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi and Matsunaga as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of US 5,805,252 (Shimada et al).

Claim 5, Kobayashi discloses (col.4, lines 18–64; Fig.1) that insulating film (7a, 7b) provided between the reflecting electrode (9) and a capacitance electrode (20) (the capacitance electrode must be made of a metal), so that is an insulating interlayer provided between the reflecting electrode and a metal layer.

Although Kobayashi does not expressly disclose the insulating interlayer is a silicon nitride film, but as an insulating layer made of silicon nitride was common and known in the art as the silicon nitride having insulation property. Such as Shimada discloses (col.1, line 67-col.2, line 1) that an insulating film is made of silicon nitride or silicon oxide, and that would have been at least obvious.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi and Matsunaga as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of US 5,056,895 (Kahn).

Claim 6, Kahn discloses (col.4, line 51 – col.6, line 66; Fig.1) that a liquid crystal panel substrate comprising a semiconductor substrate (40) and is then covered with a silicon dioxide dielectric insulating layer (50), and an additional oxide layer (53) covers the first oxide layer, and both of them are between the electrode (70) (composed of Au

Art Unit: 2871

'gold', so that must be reflective electrode) and the drain electrode (44) (the drain elect rode must be a conductive metal material). Therefore, the insulating interlayer (50,53) has a laminate structure. Kahn also indicates (col.5, lines 40-42) that the composition of oxide layer 50,53,64,68, is well known in the art, and is preferably either SiO<sub>2</sub>, or Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. Therefore, the insulating layer (53) using silicon nitride filmed on the insulating layer (50) using silicon oxide film would have been obvious, and using dielectric films having different optical density would have dielectric mirror effect to increase the reflectance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use laminated insulating films as claimed in claim 6 for increasing the reflectance.

8. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,510,918 (Matsunaga et al) in view of US 5,056,895 (Kahn).

Claim 10, Matsunaga discloses (col.7, line 62 – col.8, line 20; Fig.8) that a liquid crystal display comprising a passivation film (PSV1) made from silicon nitride film formed at the periphery region, i.e., a passivation film formed on the edge region (scribed region) of a substrate, and the passivation film having a high passivation effect in the peripheral portion against the humidity or the like.

Although Matsunaga does not expressly disclose a pixel region having reflecting electrodes, but as a reflection type liquid crystal display having reflecting electrode was common and known in the art as using ambient light and reducing power consumption.

Matsunaga does not expressly disclose using semiconductor substrate. However, Kahn

Art Unit: 2871

discloses (col.5, lines 1-8) that semiconductor substrate (40) is suitable for standard integrated circuit processes that are well known in the art, and that the conventional processes, well known in the art, can be used to fabricate MOS transistors, and by using conventional integrated circuit techniques, the cells can be fabricated singly or in multiples on the silicon wafer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use reflecting electrodes and semiconductor substrate as claimed in claim 10 for reducing power consumption and using the standard processes to fabricate the cells.

Claim 11, Kahn discloses (col.4, line 51 – col.6, line 66; Fig.1) that a liquid crystal panel substrate comprising a semiconductor substrate (40) and is then covered with a silicon dioxide dielectric insulating layer (50), and an additional oxide layer (53) covers the first oxide layer, and both of them are between the electrode (70) (composed of Au 'gold', so that must be reflective electrode) and the drain electrode (44) (the drain elect rode must be a conductive metal material) Therefore, the insulating interlayer (50,53) has a laminate structure. Kahn also indicates (col.5, lines 40-42) that the composition of oxide layer 50,53,64,68, is well known in the art, and is preferably either SiO<sub>2</sub>, or Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>. Therefore, the insulating layer (53) using silicon nitride film formed on the insulating layer (50) using silicon oxide film would have been obvious, and using dielectric films having different optical density would have dielectric mirror effect to increase the reflectance.

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the passivation film having a laminate structure as claimed in claim 11 for increasing the reflectance.

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 7,15 and 12-13 are allowed.

The prior art of record neither discloses nor teaches that a liquid crystal display panel comprising various elements, more specifically, as the following:

a first passivation film comprising a first silicon oxide film formed in the pixel region; and a second passivation film having a laminate structure comprising a second silicon oxide film and a silicon nitride film formed on the second silicon oxide film, the second passivation film being formed at edge section of the metal layer and the insulating interlayer [claim 7];

the passivation film extending on a scribed region (edge region) of the first substrate [claim 12].

The closest references US 5,056,895 (Kahn) and US 5,510,918 (Matsunaga et al) disclose that a liquid crystal display comprising passivation film having laminate structure formed on a reflecting electrode and a passivation film formed on the edge portion, but they do not disclose the second passivation film having laminate structure formed at edge section of the metal layer and the insulating interlayer and the passivation film extending on a scribed region (edge region) of the first substrate as shown in Fig.4.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,012 Page 11

Art Unit: 2871

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed on Feb.6, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

### Applicant's only arguments are as follows:

- 1) The references have no indication of the metal shielding layer as claimed in claim 1.
- 2) The references have no indication of the passivation film being formed at least on a side of edge sections of the at least insulating layers as claimed in claim 3.
- 3) The references have no indication of the second passivation film comprising a silicon nitride film formed at least on a side of edge sections of the periphery region as claimed in claim 4.
- 4) The references have no indication of the passivation film formed by a silicon nitride film having moisture resistance and formed on a scribed region of the semiconductor substrate as claimed in claim 10.
  - 5) The new claim 14 is allowable.

# Examiner's responses to Applicant's only arguments are as follows:

1) The reference Kobayashi discloses (col.4, lines 18–64; Fig.1) that the capacitance electrode (20) which must be made of a metal, and also the data line (8), the drain electrode (23) must made of metal, and the metal film functions as a shielding layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,012 Page 12

Art Unit: 2871

2) The reference Matsunage discloses (col.7, line 62 – col.8, line 20; Fig.8) that a liquid crystal display comprising a passivation film (PSV1) made from silicon nitride film formed at the periphery region, i.e., a passivation film formed on a side of edge sections (any side edge can be a side edge) of the at least insulating interlayers, and the passivation film having a high passivation effect in the peripheral portion against the humidity or the like, such as moisture resistance.

- 3) The reference Matsunaga discloses (Figs. 7,8) that a passivation film (PSV1) made from silicon nitride film formed on the periphery region (also is formed on a side of edge sections of the periphery region, and any side edge can be a side edge), and the passivation film (PSV1) having a high passivation effect for protecting the transistor against humidity.
- 4) The reference Matsunaga discloses (col.7, line 62 col.8, line 20; Fig.8) that a liquid crystal display comprising a passivation film (PSV1) made from silicon nitride film formed at the periphery region, i.e., a passivation film formed on the edge region (scribed region) of a substrate, and the passivation film having a high passivation effect in the peripheral portion against the humidity or the like. The reference Kahn discloses (col.5, lines 1-8) that semiconductor substrate (40) is suitable for standard integrated circuit processes that are well known in the art.
  - 5) See the explanation above for the claim 14 rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,012 Page 13

Art Unit: 2871

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Qi whose telephone number is (703) 308-6213.

Art Unit: 2871

14. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

Mike Qi May 15, 2003

0956.

PORTON H. KOM SUPERVISOM PAKEUT ENGUMNEP TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 14